

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL REGIONAL BOARD
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ORDER NO.

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

FOR
KNIGHTS LANDING COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
KNIGHTS LANDING WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY
YOLO COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Regional Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Regional Water Board) finds that:

1. On 5 April 2007, the Knights Landing Community Services District (hereafter Discharger) submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) for the expansion of an existing wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) that serves the town of Knights Landing. Additional information was received from the Discharger on 21 May 2007.
2. The Discharger owns and operates the WWTF and is responsible for compliance with these waste discharge requirements (WDRs).
3. The WWTF is ½ mile south of County Road 116 near Knights Landing, in Section 23, T11N, R2E, MDB&M. The facility site location is shown on Attachment A, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference. The facility occupies Assessor's Parcel No. 056-017-39.
4. WDRs Order No. 94-020, adopted by the Regional Water Board on 28 January 1994, prescribes requirements for the Discharger's WWTF and the discharge of treated effluent to evaporation/percolation ponds and a 31.5-acre spreading basin. The Discharger proposes to expand the facility to accommodate planned development in the community. Therefore, Order No. 94-020 will be rescinded and replaced with this Order.
5. For the purposes of this Order, "WWTF" shall mean the main sewage lift station; the wastewater treatment, storage, and disposal ponds; and the land spreading area.

Existing Facility and Discharge

6. Sewage from the community flows by gravity sewer to a wet well lift station at the WWTF, which has two pumps to pump the wastewater to the WWTF. The existing WWTF consists of eight wastewater stabilization ponds¹ on approximately 20 acres and a 31.5-acre spreading basin. The facility site plan is shown on Attachment B, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.

¹ Laugenour and Meikle, the engineers of record for the WWTF, developed a particular pond numbering system, and have consistently used that system in the RWD and all design and record drawings. However, the Discharger uses a different pond numbering system for operation and monitoring purposes, and has done so for several years. In order to be consistent with the Discharger's actual operations, this Order uses the Discharger's pond numbering system. Therefore, pond numbers used in the Findings of this Order may not match those used in the RWD. Attachment B shows both numbering systems.

7. The stabilization ponds are operated as two parallel passive stabilization systems with four ponds each. Influent wastewater is split between the two primary ponds, which have a total depth of 11 feet and an operating depth of eight feet (including accumulated sludge). The other six ponds have a total depth of eight feet and a five-foot operating depth. According to the RWD, three feet of freeboard is maintained at all times.
8. The 31.5-acre spreading basin receives overflow from the eight existing ponds during the wet season only. The berm that surrounds the spreading area is approximately three feet above the surrounding grade and the depth varies from three to five feet below the top of the containment berm.
9. The WWTF does not use aerators or other active treatment systems. Reduction of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS) is achieved through atmospheric oxygen diffusion during extended retention in the WWTF ponds. The wastewater is not disinfected.
10. An influent flow meter was installed in February 2007. Prior to that, the Discharger estimated influent flows based on pump run times. The winter of 2006/2007 was a relatively dry season with only 8.6 inches of rain from November 2006 through March 2007 and little rainfall thereafter. Therefore, flow monitoring data collected by the Discharger from April 2007 through June 2007 may provide the most accurate estimate of the current average daily dry weather flow. Based on these data, the current average daily dry weather flow is estimated to be 80,000 gallons per day (gpd). This estimate correlates well with the average daily dry weather flows for 2005 and 2006, which were developed based on pump run time estimates.
11. There is not sufficient accurate flow monitoring data to assess wet weather influent flows as a function of precipitation. However, the influent pump run time and monthly precipitation data for the unusually wet winter of 2005/2006 indicate a strong precipitation-dependent increase in influent flows with a lag of three to four months. This indicates that rising groundwater levels during extended periods of heavy rainfall can cause significant infiltration of groundwater into the Knights Landing sanitary sewer system. It also indicates that the lowest monthly influent flows for this facility can be expected to occur in August through October each year unless the infiltration rate is reduced from current levels.
12. Based on the infiltration and inflow (I/I) estimation method required per the Yolo County Improvement Standards, the RWD estimated the daily infiltration rate at 27,000 gpd from November through April during normal rainfall years. This appears to be reasonable as a preliminary estimate. However, further flow monitoring over several years, including some years with higher than normal precipitation, is needed to provide data for a more thorough analysis of I/I and its potential impact on WWTF capacity.
13. In 2002, 119 tons of sludge were removed from Pond 1 and disposed of at a local landfill. In 2005, 289 tons of sludge were removed from Pond 2 and similarly disposed. The RWD estimates that sludge removal should be undertaken again in 2012, and proposes to use part of the spreading basin as a temporary sludge drying bed during the summer. Ponds 1

and 2 are the primary ponds and can be expected to accumulate sludge faster than the “downstream” ponds. Sludge levels in the other six ponds have not been evaluated. Because the ponds are operated as two sets of four ponds in series, the six “downstream” ponds can also accumulate sludge, which might ultimately affect the capacity of the WWTF. Therefore, it is appropriate to require that the Discharger periodically evaluate sludge levels in all wastewater ponds and remove sludge as needed to ensure adequate capacity.

Chemical Characteristics

14. Based on analytical results for three samples (one from each supply well), which were tested in February 2006, the chemical character of the municipal water supply, which is obtained from three groundwater wells, is summarized below.

Parameter	Units	Range of Results
Total dissolved solids	mg/L	320 to 760
Electrical conductivity ¹	umhos/cm	500 to 1,200
Total hardness	mg/L	101 to 416
Total alkalinity	mg/L	238 to 271
Boron	ug/L	880 to 1,760
Calcium	mg/L	19 to 63
Chloride	mg/L	38 to 200
Magnesium	mg/L	13 to 63
Sodium	mg/L	66 to 83
Iron	ug/L	<100 to 130
Manganese	ug/L	20 to 140
Nitrate	mg/L	<2 to 2.3
Sulfate	mg/L	14 to 100

¹ Estimated from TDS concentrations using and assumed conversion factor of 1.56.

The community water supply is moderately saline and moderately hard, and high concentrations of boron were reported. The reason for the apparent wide variation between the wells is not known, but may be associated with different well designs (i.e., dissimilar depths and/or screened intervals). The prevalence of non-regenerating water softeners, which can contribute excess salinity to the WWTF influent, is not known.

15. According to the RWD, commercial and industrial wastewater contributions to the WWTF are minimal, and influent wastewater quality is most similar to typical domestic wastewater. Influent characterization data for 18 wastewater samples analyzed between February and June 2007 are summarized below.

Constituent/Parameter	Analytical Results		
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
BOD (mg/L)	59	700	292
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	42	1,100	337
Electrical Conductivity (umhos/cm) ¹	931	1,519	1,249

Analytical Results (single sample ²)	
Sodium, mg/L	96
Iron, ug/L	560
Boron, ug/L	1,500
Chloride, mg/L	122
Manganese, ug/L	40
Total nitrogen, mg/L	9
Total suspended solids, mg/L	101

¹ Based on monitoring results for the two primary ponds (Ponds 1 and 2).

² Based on a single sample obtained in March 2007.

The cause of the apparent large variation in BOD, TSS, and electrical conductivity in the two primary ponds is not known. However, because the influent samples are taken as grab samples from the ponds, the variability may be due to changes in the dilution/evapoconcentration state of the wastewater associated with weather variation through the year. Based on these data, use of the municipal water supply does not appear to cause an unreasonable incremental increase in salinity as measured in the WWTF influent.

16. Effluent characterization data for 17 wastewater samples analyzed between February and June 2007 are summarized below.

Constituent/Parameter	Analytical Results ¹		
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Electrical Conductivity (umhos/cm)	1,329	2,184	1,600
Analytical Results (single sample ²)			
Sodium, mg/L		199	
Boron, ug/L		2,700	
Chloride, mg/L		217	
Total nitrogen, mg/L		9	
Total suspended solids, mg/L		101	

¹ Based on monitoring results for 18 wastewater samples taken from the last two ponds (Ponds 7 and 8).

² Based on a single sample obtained in May 2007.

The electrical conductivity, sodium, boron, and chloride data indicate that evapoconcentration as the wastewater flows from the primary ponds through to the final ponds increases the overall salinity of the wastewater significantly beyond concentrations that would be considered a reasonable increment over the water supply concentrations.

Planned Changes in Discharge

17. The Discharger plans to expand the existing WWTF to serve a new residential subdivision. The subdivision is expected to increase the population served by the WWTF from approximately 1,018 to 1,320.
18. The WWTF treatment and disposal capacity will be increased by converting part of the existing 31.5-acre land spreading area to two percolation/evaporation ponds (Ponds 9 and 10). The remainder will continue to be used as land spreading area when needed. The walls of the headworks structure will be raised by one foot to provide 100-year flood protection. The rest of the WWTF is outside the 100-year floodplain.
19. Pond 9 will have a surface area of approximately 5.0 acres and a maximum volume of 31 acre-feet at two feet of freeboard. Pond 10 will have a surface area of approximately 4.5 acres and a maximum volume of 30 acre-feet at two feet of freeboard
20. The Discharger has completed a water balance for the expanded facility to demonstrate that adequate treatment, storage and disposal capacity is available for the new subdivision and other future developments. The water balance was prepared based on reasonable estimates of site-specific influent flows, precipitation, evaporation, percolation, and groundwater infiltration into the sewer system. The water balance was used to model storage and disposal capacity during the 100-year, 365-day precipitation event. The model indicates that the expanded WWTF will have sufficient capacity for 105,000 gpd as an average daily dry weather flow (from August through October each year) and 48.4 million gallons (MG) as a total annual influent flow (including I/I).
21. The WWTF Operation and Maintenance Manual submitted with the Report of Waste Discharge is over 30 years old and needs to be updated to address the expansion and other facility improvements, and to delete references to waste management practices that are no longer allowed (e.g., onsite burial of scum and sludges with dead animals).
22. According to the RWD, the lift station wet well, wet well overflow sump, and sewer system provide a reserve storage capacity of approximately eight hours at current influent flows. In the event of a power failure, a standby generator and pump can be used to transfer sewage from the wet well to the primary ponds. The Discharger plans to install an autodialer system to notify the plant operator of any alarm conditions.

Wastewater Collection System

23. The Knights Landing sewer system consists of 6-, 8-, and 10-inch vitrified clay pipe that connects to a 12-inch gravity interceptor. The interceptor discharges into the main lift station at the WWTF for distribution to the treatment ponds.
24. The sanitary sewer system collects wastewater and consists of sewer pipes, manholes, and/or other conveyance system elements that direct raw sewage to the treatment facility. A "sanitary sewer overflow" is defined as a discharge to ground or surface water from the sanitary sewer system at any point upstream of the treatment facility. Temporary storage and conveyance facilities (such as wet wells, regulated impoundments, tanks, highlines, etc.) may be part of a sanitary sewer system and discharges to these facilities are not considered sanitary sewer overflows, provided that the waste is fully contained within these temporary storage/conveyance facilities. Sanitary sewer overflow is also defined in State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ, *Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems*. The Internet web location for State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ is:
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/resdec/wqorders/2006/wqo/wqo2006_0003.pdf.
25. Sanitary sewer overflows consist of varying mixtures of domestic and commercial wastewater, depending on land uses in the sewage collection system. The chief causes of sanitary sewer overflows include grease blockages, root blockages, debris blockages, sewer line flood damage, manhole structure failures, vandalism, pump station mechanical failures, power outages, storm or groundwater inflow/infiltration, lack of capacity, and/or contractor caused blockages.
26. Sanitary sewer overflows often contain high levels of suspended solids, pathogenic organisms, toxic pollutants, nutrients, oxygen demanding organic compounds, oil and grease, and other pollutants. Sanitary sewer overflows can cause temporary exceedance of applicable water quality objectives, pose a threat to public health, adversely affect aquatic life, and impair the public recreational use and aesthetic enjoyment of surface waters in the area.
27. The Discharger is expected to take all necessary steps to adequately maintain, operate, and prevent discharges from its sanitary sewer collection system. This Order requires the Discharger to prepare and implement a *Sewer System Management Plan* (SSMP) consistent with State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ. Although State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ does not require that the overflow emergency response program portion of the SSMP be completed before February 2010, it is appropriate to require that the Discharger submit this portion of the SSMP sooner because the Discharger currently has no specific plan to respond to sanitary sewer overflows. Because of the small size of the sewer system, requiring this portion of the SSMP earlier will not be overly burdensome to the Discharger.

Site-Specific Conditions

28. The WWTF site is at an elevation ranging from approximately 26 to 28 feet mean sea level (MSL), and the area is relatively flat with drainage toward the Sacramento River. Because of the extensive levee protection in the area, drainage enters agricultural drains from where it can be pumped into the river.
29. The facility is adjacent to the Knights Landing Ridge Cut, which carries drainage from local agricultural fields into the Sacramento River.
30. Annual precipitation in the vicinity averages approximately 17 inches. The 100-year total annual precipitation is approximately 32 inches. The reference evapotranspiration rate is approximately 55 inches per year.
31. Based on the National Resource Conservation Service soil survey, the soils at the WWTF are Clear Lake clay, Sacramento clay (drained), and Sycamore silty clay loam (drained). Published infiltration rates for the soils are less than 0.6 inches per hour (or 14.4 inches per day). The RWD estimates that the wastewater pond percolation rates are 3.0 inches per day as a sustained pond percolation rate.
32. After the headworks improvements have been completed, all portions of the WWTF will be outside the 100-year flood zone.
33. The WWTF is surrounded by agricultural fields.

Groundwater Considerations

34. There are currently three groundwater monitoring wells at the facility, which were installed in 1986 (see Attachment B). The three wells are completed to a depth of approximately 70 feet below the top of the wastewater pond berm, and are screened from 15 to 65 feet below that reference elevation.
35. Based on the RWD's analysis of groundwater monitoring data for April 2000 through November 2006, groundwater elevations at the WWTF typically range between 16.5 and 23.7 feet above mean sea level (MSL), which corresponds to a groundwater depth ranging from 7 to 14 feet below the surrounding (undisturbed) ground surface.
36. The gradient direction of the shallow groundwater varied from east to southwest, but did not exhibit a consistent seasonal variation. The gradient magnitude varied from 0.002 to 0.0003 ft/ft. The Knights Landing Ridge Cut, which parallels the WWTF along its entire length (see Attachment B), may strongly influence groundwater levels and flow directions.
37. Based on the shallow depth of the water table, the variable groundwater flow direction, and the proximity of the wells to the wastewater ponds, none of the three wells is consistently upgradient or downgradient of the discharge area. Monitoring well MW-1, at the northwest end of the WWTF, is predominantly upgradient, but is within about 40 feet of the primary ponds. MW-2 is near the southeast corner of the first eight ponds, and is sometimes down gradient of the ponds. MW-3, near the southwest corner of the first eight

ponds, is also sometimes downgradient of the ponds. Recent groundwater monitoring data (six events from February 2006 through May 2007) are summarized below.

Constituent/Parameter	Range of Analytical Results ¹			Applicable Water Quality Limit ^{1, 2}
	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	
Total coliform organisms ³	<2 to >1,600	<2 to >1,600	<2 to 130	2.2
Electrical conductivity ⁴	1,080 to 1,220	1,600 to 2,030	1,700 to 2,020	700
Total dissolved solids ⁵	650 to 710	990 to 1,020	1,010 to 1,040	450
Nitrate nitrogen	<0.45 to 2.3	<0.1 to 3.8	<0.1 to <0.45	10
Sodium ⁶	3	302	350	69
Iron ^{6, 7}	<50	80	60	300
Boron ^{6, 7}	2,740	4,310	3,890	700
Chloride ⁶	44	280	228	106
Manganese ^{6, 7}	540	770	250	50

¹ mg/L except as noted.

² Water quality limit to apply the narrative water quality objectives specified in the Basin Plan for protection of the beneficial uses of groundwater.

³ MPN per 100 mL.

⁴ umhos/cm.

⁵ Results are from two monitoring events in February and May 2007.

⁶ Results are from one monitoring event in February 2007.

⁷ ug/L

Despite the apparent inadequacy of the existing monitoring well network, the results for electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sodium, iron, chloride, and manganese strongly indicate that the WWTF has degraded groundwater quality. In the case of sodium and chloride, the degradation appears to have exceeded the applicable water quality limit. Additionally, coliform organisms were routinely detected in wells MW-2 and MW-3, whereas they were only detected in MW-1 once.

Therefore, it is appropriate to require that the Discharger install additional monitoring wells designed to consistently monitor groundwater up- and downgradient of the wastewater ponds, including the two new ponds. It is also appropriate, after sufficient data have been collected, to require a formal determination of background groundwater quality and the degree to which degradation has occurred. In the interim, it is appropriate to require that the Discharger not allow the salinity of the influent and effluent to increase, and to require that the Discharger develop and begin to implement a salinity minimization plan.

Antidegradation Analysis

38. State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 ("Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Waters of the State") (hereafter Resolution No. 68-16) requires a regional water board in regulating the discharge of waste to maintain high quality waters of the state (i.e.,

background water quality) until it is demonstrated that any change in quality will be consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State, will not unreasonably affect beneficial uses, and will not result in water quality less than as described in plans and policies. The discharge is required to meet waste discharge requirements that will result in the best practicable treatment or control of the discharge necessary to assure that pollution or nuisance will not occur and highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people will be maintained.

39. There is evidence that the existing WWTF has degraded groundwater quality with respect to salinity indicators (electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sodium, chloride, and boron); iron and manganese (indicators of acidic or reducing soil conditions in the limited vadose zone below the ponds), and coliform organisms (pathogen indicators that have not been adequately filtered due to the limited depth of fine-grained soils below the WWTF). In the case of certain salinity indicators (electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sodium, and chloride), it appears that the discharge has caused groundwater to exceed the applicable water quality limits.
40. Some degradation of groundwater beneath the WWTF is consistent with Resolution No. 68-16 provided that degradation:
 - a. Is confined to a reasonable area;
 - b. Is minimized by means of full implementation, regular maintenance, and optimal operation of best practicable treatment and control (BPTC) measures;
 - c. Is limited to waste constituents typically encountered in municipal wastewater as specified in the groundwater limitations of this Order; and
 - d. Does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in the applicable basin plan.
41. Some degradation of groundwater by some of the typical waste constituents released with discharge from a municipal wastewater utility after effective source control, treatment, and control is consistent with maximum benefit to the people of California. The technology, energy, water recycling, and waste management advantages of municipal utility service far exceed any benefits derived from a community otherwise reliant on numerous concentrated individual wastewater systems, and the impact on water quality will be substantially less. Degradation of groundwater by constituents (e.g., toxic chemicals) other than those specified in the groundwater limitations in this Order, and by constituents that can be effectively removed by conventional treatment (e.g., total coliform bacteria) is prohibited. When allowed, the degree of degradation permitted depends upon many factors (i.e., background water quality, the waste constituent, the beneficial uses and most stringent water quality objective, source control measures, waste constituent treatability).
42. This Order acknowledges that some degradation may occur as a result of the discharge of wastewater to land, but the Regional Board finds that such degradation at this facility is consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the state. Economic prosperity of local communities and associated industry is of benefit to the people of California, and therefore sufficient reason exists to accommodate growth and some groundwater degradation,

provided that the terms of the Basin Plan are met. This Order is consistent with State Water Board policy.

Treatment and Control Practices

43. The facility treats wastewater to secondary standards through passive treatment, but its design and the Discharger's operational practices do not incorporate any specific measures to reduce the potential for groundwater degradation. Because of the shallow water table, there is minimal potential for constituent attenuation in the vadose zone, and there is evidence that groundwater has been degraded. In addition, the appropriate level of degradation that complies with Resolution No. 68-16 has not been fully evaluated. Therefore, the Discharger's current effort probably does not constitute BPTC as intended in Resolution No. 68-16, and is it appropriate for this Order to establish a schedule for tasks to formally evaluate groundwater degradation, develop and begin to implement a salinity reduction program, and evaluate BPTC for the other constituents that have degraded groundwater quality (iron, manganese, boron, and coliform organisms). Completion of these tasks, and implementation of the approved strategies developed from that work, will ensure that BPTC and the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State will be achieved.
44. This Order establishes interim effluent limitations for salinity and groundwater limitations that will not unreasonably threaten present and anticipated beneficial uses or result in groundwater quality that exceeds water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan. The Provisions of this Order contain tasks for assuring that BPTC and the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State will be achieved. Accordingly, the discharge is consistent with the antidegradation provisions of Resolution No. 68-16. Based on the results of the scheduled tasks, the Regional Water Board may reopen this Order to reconsider groundwater limitations and other requirements to comply with Resolution No. 68-16.

Basin Plan, Beneficial Uses, and Regulatory Considerations

45. The *Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins, Fourth Edition*, (hereafter Basin Plan) designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, contains implementation plans and policies for protecting waters of the basin, and incorporates by reference plans and policies adopted by the State Water Board. Pursuant to Section 13263(a) of the California Water Code, waste discharge requirements must implement the Basin Plan.
46. Surface water drainage is to the Sacramento River near its confluence with the Colusa Basin Drain. The beneficial uses of that reach of the Sacramento River are municipal and domestic supply; agricultural supply; water contact recreation; noncontact water recreation; warm and cold freshwater habitat; migration of aquatic organisms; spawning, reproduction, and/or early development; wildlife habitat; and navigation.
47. The beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater are municipal and domestic supply, agricultural supply, and industrial supply.

48. The Basin Plan establishes numerical and narrative water quality objectives for surface water and groundwater within the basin. Numerical water quality objectives are maximum limits directly applicable to the protection of designated beneficial uses of the water. The Basin Plan requires that the Regional Water Board, on a case-by-case basis, follow specified procedures to determine maximum numerical limitations that apply the narrative objectives when it adopts waste discharge requirements.
49. The Basin Plan includes a water quality objective for Chemical Constituents that, at a minimum, requires waters designated as domestic or municipal supply to meet the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) specified in the following provisions of Title 22, California Code of Regulations: Tables 64431-A (Inorganic Chemicals) and 64431-B (Fluoride) of Section 64431, Table 64444-A (Organic Chemicals) of Section 64444, Table 64449-A (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels-Consumer Acceptance Limits) of Section 64449, and 64449-B (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels-Ranges) of Section 64449. The Basin Plan's incorporation of these provisions by reference is prospective, and includes future changes to the incorporated provisions as the changes take effect. The Basin Plan recognizes that that the Regional Water Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
50. State Board Order No.WQO-2003-0014 upheld the Regional Board's use of numeric groundwater limits, and states that numeric groundwater limits must be restricted to those constituents present in the waste, breakdown products of constituents present in the waste, and those that might be leached from the soil beneath the wastewater disposal area. The Groundwater Limitations of this Order comply with State Board Order No.WQO-2003-0014, as described below. Additional information regarding each of these chemicals is found in the Information Sheet.
- a. Monitoring data provided in the RWD show that boron is present in the WWTF effluent. Boron occurs naturally in waters, and is known to be present in the cleaning products used in domestic households². Boron has been found in the WWTF effluent at a concentration of 2.7 mg/L. Boron has the potential to degrade groundwater quality because there is little ability for attenuation in the vadose zone. The groundwater underlying the facility has the designated beneficial use of agricultural supply. According to Ayers and Westcot³, boron can damage sensitive crops if present in excess of 0.7 mg/L in irrigation water, thereby impairing agricultural use of the water resource. The applicable water quality objective to protect the agricultural use from discharges of boron is the narrative Chemical Constituents objective, which is implemented following the "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" in the

² American Public Health Association et al., 1985. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 16th Edition.

³ Ayers, R.S. and D.W. Westcot, Water Quality for Agriculture, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations- Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 29, Rev. 1, Rome (1985). This paper contains the results of studies of the impacts of various chemicals on agricultural uses including crop irrigation and stock watering. Therefore, it is appropriate to use the data contained therein to apply the narrative Chemical Constituent water quality objective.

Basin Plan. A numerical groundwater limitation of 0.7 mg/L for boron, based on Ayers and Westcot, is appropriate to implement the narrative Chemical Constituents objective to protect the agricultural use of groundwater.

- b. Monitoring data provided in the RWD show that chloride is present in the WWTF effluent. Additionally, chloride is known to be present in wastewater, as it is one of the major components of total dissolved solids. Chloride is a major anion in natural water and wastewater, and is added to the waste stream because sodium chloride is present in the human diet and is excreted unchanged from the human body^{2,4}. Chloride concentrations at other facilities vary depending on the salinity of the source water and the activities resulting in wastewater discharge. Chloride has been found in the wastewater at a concentration of 217 mg/L. Chloride has the potential to degrade groundwater quality because there is little ability for attenuation in vadose zone. According to Ayers and Westcot, chloride can damage sensitive crops if present in excess of 106 mg/L in irrigation water applied by sprinklers, thereby impairing agricultural use of the water resource. The applicable water quality objective to protect the agricultural use from discharges of chloride is the narrative Chemical Constituents objective, which is implemented following the "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" in the Basin Plan. A numerical groundwater limitation of 106 mg/L for chloride, based on Ayers and Westcot, is appropriate to implement the narrative Chemical Constituents objective to protect the agricultural use of groundwater.
- c. The Discharger has not yet sampled its effluent for iron. Iron is naturally occurring in all waters due to its presence in soils and rock², and is liberated from the soil under oxidizing conditions associated with the biodegradation of organic matter. Iron is known to be present in domestic wastewater, and at other domestic wastewater facilities has been found at concentrations ranging from 70 to 190 ug/L. It is also expected to be present in the effluent from this facility. Iron has the potential to degrade groundwater quality because there is little ability for attenuation in the vadose zone. The Chemical Constituents objective prohibits concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of California MCLs in groundwater that is designated as municipal or domestic supply. The California secondary MCL for iron is 0.3 mg/L, and groundwater beneath the facility is designated as municipal or domestic supply. It is therefore appropriate to adopt a numerical groundwater limitation of 0.3 mg/L for iron to implement the Chemical Constituents objective to protect the municipal and domestic use of groundwater.
- d. The Discharger has not yet sampled its effluent for manganese. Manganese occurs naturally in waters and is added to the waste stream through both domestic and industrial use². Manganese has been found at other facilities at concentrations ranging from 2 to 21 ug/L, and is expected to be present at this facility. Manganese has the potential to degrade groundwater quality because there is little ability for attenuation in the vadose zone. In addition, naturally occurring manganese can be solubilized from soil under reducing conditions caused by the land disposal of domestic wastewater, and is more prevalent in dissolved forms in groundwater². The Chemical Constituents objective prohibits concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of California MCLs

⁴ Metcalf and Eddy, 2003. Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse, 4th Edition.

in groundwater that is designated as municipal or domestic supply. The California secondary MCL for manganese is 50 ug/L, and groundwater beneath the facility is designated as municipal or domestic supply. It is therefore appropriate to adopt a numerical groundwater limitation of 50 ug/L for manganese to implement the Chemical Constituents objective to protect the municipal and domestic use of groundwater.

- e. Monitoring data provided in the RWD show that sodium is present in the WWTF effluent. Additionally, sodium is known to be present in wastewater, as it is one of the major components of total dissolved solids. Sodium is a major cation in natural water, due to its prevalence in the earth's crust, and in wastewater because sodium chloride is present in the human diet and is excreted unchanged by the body². Sodium concentrations at other facilities vary depending on the salinity of the source water and the activities resulting in wastewater discharge. Sodium has been found in the wastewater at a concentration of 199 mg/L. Sodium has the potential to degrade groundwater quality because there is little ability for attenuation in vadose zone. According to Ayers and Westcot, sodium can damage sensitive crops if present in excess of 69 mg/L in irrigation water, thereby impairing agricultural use of the water resource. The applicable water quality objective to protect the agricultural use from discharges of chloride is the narrative Chemical Constituents objective, which is implemented following the "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" in the Basin Plan. A numerical groundwater limitation of 69 mg/L for sodium, based on Ayers and Westcot, is appropriate to implement the narrative Chemical Constituents objective to protect the agricultural use of groundwater.
- f. Total dissolved solids, which were found to be present in the wastewater based on electrical conductivity measurements of up to 2,184 umhos/cm, have the potential to degrade groundwater quality because there is little ability for attenuation in the vadose zone. According to Ayers and Westcot, dissolved solids can damage sensitive crops if present in excess of 450 mg/L (or 700 umhos/cm) in irrigation water, thereby impairing agricultural use of the water resource. The applicable water quality objective to protect the agricultural use from discharges of total dissolved solids is the narrative Chemical Constituents objective, which is implemented following the "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" in the Basin Plan. A numerical groundwater limitation of 450 mg/L for total dissolved solids, based on Ayers and Westcot, is appropriate to implement the narrative Chemical Constituents objective to protect the agricultural use of groundwater.
- g. Nitrate, was not found to be present in the wastewater. However, total nitrogen was present at a concentration of 9 mg/L, which has the potential to degrade groundwater quality with nitrate because ammonia nitrogen in wastewater readily converts to the nitrate form and there is little ability for attenuation in the vadose zone. The Chemical Constituents objective prohibits concentrations of chemical constituents in excess of California MCLs in groundwater that is designated as municipal or domestic supply. The California primary MCL for nitrate is equivalent to 10 mg/L as nitrogen, and groundwater beneath the facility is designated as municipal or domestic supply. It is therefore appropriate to adopt a numerical groundwater limitation of 10 mg/L for nitrate

as nitrogen to implement the narrative Chemical Constituents objective to protect the municipal and domestic use of groundwater.

- h. The Discharger has not yet sampled its effluent for ammonia. However, total nitrogen was present at a concentration of 9 mg/L, which has the potential to degrade groundwater quality with ammonia because total nitrogen includes both ammonia and organic nitrogen (which readily mineralizes to the ammonia form), and there is little ability for attenuation in the vadose zone. According to Amoores and Hautala⁵, the odor of ammonia can be detected in water at a concentration of 1.5 mg/L (as ammonia), and concentrations that exceed this value can impair the municipal or domestic use of the resource due to the adverse odor. The applicable water quality objective to protect the agricultural use from discharges of chloride is the narrative Tastes and Odors objective, which is implemented following the "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" in the Basin Plan. A numerical groundwater limitation of 1.5 mg/L for ammonia (as ammonia), based on Amoores and Hautala, is appropriate to implement the narrative Tastes and Odors objective to protect the municipal and domestic use of groundwater.
- i. pH, which is typically 7.0 to 9.5 standard units in oxidation pond wastewater treatment systems, has the ability to degrade groundwater quality because there is little potential for buffering in the limited vadose zone. According to Ayers and Westcott, pH less than 6.5 or greater than 8.4 can damage sensitive crops if present in irrigation water, thereby impairing agricultural use of the water resource. The applicable water quality objective to protect the agricultural use from discharges of substances that affect pH is the narrative Chemical Constituents objective, which is implemented following the "Policy of Application of Water Quality Objectives" in the Basin Plan. A numerical groundwater limitation range of 6.5 to 8.4 for pH, based on Ayers and Westcott, is appropriate to implement the narrative Chemical Constituents objective to protect the agricultural use of groundwater.

51. The Basin Plan contains narrative water quality objectives for Chemical Constituents, Tastes and Odors, and Toxicity. The Toxicity objective, in summary, requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life associated with designated beneficial uses. The Chemical Constituents objective requires that groundwater "shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses". The Tastes and Odors objective requires that groundwater "shall not contain taste- or odor-producing substances in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses". Chapter IV, Implementation, of the Basin Plan contains the "Policy for Application of Water Quality Objectives". This Policy specifies, in part, that compliance with narrative water quality objectives may be evaluated considering

⁵ Amoores, J.E. and E. Hautala, *Odor as an Aid to Chemical Safety: Odor Thresholds Compared with Threshold Limit Values and Volatilities for 214 Industrial Chemicals in Air and Water Dilution*, Journal of Applied Toxicology, Vol. 3, No. 6, (1983). These authors studied the concentration of chemicals in air, which caused adverse odors and then calculated the concentration in water that would be equivalent to that amount in air. Therefore, it is appropriate to use the data contained therein to apply the narrative Tastes and Odors water quality objective.

numerical criteria and guidelines developed and/or published by other agencies and organizations.

52. Under the "Antidegradation" section, the attached Information Sheet lists the various waste constituents identified thus far as fitting the restriction of the Findings along with limits of each constituent necessary to maintain beneficial uses known to be adversely affected at certain concentrations of the waste constituent in groundwater. The listing identifies the constituent, the beneficial use, and its associated limit, as well as the technical reference for the limit. Some limits become less restrictive when the water supply is limited to certain applications of a beneficial use, but that requires additional factual information, which is not currently available. Groundwater limitations for each constituent reflect the most restrictive listed limit for the waste constituent, unless natural background quality is better, in which case background becomes the limitation.

Other Regulatory Considerations

53. The State Water Board adopted Order No. 97-03-DWQ (NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001) specifying waste discharge requirements for discharges of storm water associated with industrial activities, and requiring submittal of a Notice of Intent by all affected industrial dischargers. The wastewater treatment plant facilities are designed to contain all storm water runoff that might have contacted the waste regulated under this Order. Because there is no storm water discharge from the industrial portion of the facility, the Discharger is not required to obtain coverage under NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001.
54. On 2 May 2006, the State Water Board adopted Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements For Sanitary Sewer Systems General Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ (General Order). The General Order requires all public agencies that own or operate sanitary sewer systems greater than one mile in length to comply with the Order. The Discharger's collection system will exceed one mile in length, and therefore the General Order is applicable. The Discharger has filed a Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under the General Order with the State Water Resources Control Board.
55. Section 13267(b) of the California Water Code provides that: *"In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the regional board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste within its region, or any citizen or domiciliary, or political agency or entity of this state who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge, waste outside of its region that could affect the quality of waters within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the regional board requires. The burden, including costs, of these reports shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring those reports, the regional board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports".*

The technical reports required by this Order and the attached "Monitoring and Reporting Program No. ____" are necessary to assure compliance with these waste discharge requirements. The Discharger owns and operates the facility that discharges the waste subject to this Order.

56. The California Department of Water Resources sets standards for the construction and destruction of groundwater wells (hereafter DWR Well Standards), as described in *California Well Standards Bulletin 74-90* (June 1991) and *Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin 94-81* (December 1981). These standards, and any more stringent standards adopted by the State or county pursuant to CWC Section 13801, apply to all monitoring wells.
57. The action to adopt waste discharge requirements for this existing facility is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality (CEQA), in accordance with Title 14 CCR, Section 15301.
58. On 19 June 2007, in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CCR, Title 14, Section 15261 et. seq.), Knights Landing Community Services District certified a Negative Declaration for expansion of the wastewater treatment facility to accommodate anticipated growth within its sphere of influence. Mitigation measures were not made a condition of the approval of the project.
59. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has promulgated biosolids reuse regulations in 40 CFR 503, *Standard for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge*, which establishes management criteria for protection of ground and surface waters, sets application rates for heavy metals, and establishes stabilization and disinfection criteria.
60. The Regional Water Board is using the Standards in 40 CFR 503 as guidelines in establishing this Order, but the Regional Water Board is not the implementing agency for 40 CFR 503 regulations. The Discharger may have separate and/or additional compliance, reporting, and permitting responsibilities to the EPA.
61. State regulations that prescribe procedures for detecting and characterizing the impact of waste constituents from waste management units on groundwater are found in Title 27. While the wastewater treatment facility is exempt from Title 27, the data analysis methods of Title 27 are appropriate for determining whether the discharge complies with the terms for protection of groundwater specified in this Order.
62. The discharge authorized herein and the treatment and storage facilities associated with the discharge, except for discharges of residual sludge and solid waste, are exempt from the requirements of Title 27, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 20005 et seq. (hereafter Title 27). The exemption, pursuant to Section 20090(a) of Title 27, is based on the following:
 - a. The waste consists primarily of domestic sewage and treated effluent;
 - b. The waste discharge requirements are consistent with water quality objectives; and

- c. The treatment and storage facilities described herein are associated with a municipal wastewater treatment plant.

- 63. Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13263(g), discharge is a privilege, not a right, and adoption of this Order does not create a vested right to continue the discharge.

Public Notice

- 64. All the above and the supplemental information and details in the attached Information Sheet, which is incorporated by reference herein, were considered in establishing the following conditions of discharge.
- 65. The Discharger and interested agencies and persons have been notified of the intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for this discharge, and they have been provided an opportunity for a public hearing and an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations.
- 66. In a public meeting, all comments pertaining to the discharge were heard and considered.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Sections 13263 and 13267 of the California Water Code, Order No. 94-020 is rescinded and Knights Landing Community Services District, its agents, successors, and assigns, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code and regulations adopted thereunder, shall comply with the following:

[Note: Other prohibitions, conditions, definitions, and some methods of determining compliance are contained in the attached "Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements" dated 1 March 1991.]

A. Discharge Prohibitions

- 1. Discharge of wastes from any portion of the WWTF and the sanitary sewer system) to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.
- 2. Discharge of waste classified as 'hazardous' under Section 2521, Chapter 15 of Title 23 or 'designated', as defined in Section 13173 of California Water Code is prohibited.
- 3. Bypass or overflow of untreated or partially treated waste is prohibited.
- 4. Discharge of treated wastewater downstream of the treatment plant, other than at the percolation/evaporation ponds and spreading area described in the Findings is prohibited.

B. Discharge Specifications

1. **Effective immediately**, the average daily dry weather flow⁶ shall not exceed 80,000 gpd, and the average daily flow⁷ shall not exceed 120,000 gpd during the months of November through July, inclusive.
2. **Effective upon the Executive Officer's written approval** of the report required pursuant to Provision F.1.a, the average daily dry weather flow shall not exceed 105,000 gpd; the average daily flow shall not exceed 142,000 gpd during the months of November through July, inclusive; and the total annual influent flow shall not exceed 48.4 million gallons.
3. No waste constituent shall be released or discharged, or placed where it will be released or discharged, in a concentration or in a mass that causes violation of the Groundwater Limitations.
4. Wastewater treatment, storage, and disposal shall not cause pollution or a nuisance as defined by Section 13050 of the California Water Code (CWC).
5. The Discharger shall operate all systems and equipment to optimize the quality of the treated effluent.
6. Public contact with wastewater shall be precluded or controlled through such means as fences and signs, or acceptable alternatives.
7. Objectionable odors originating at the facility shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the property owned by the Discharger.
8. As a means of discerning compliance with Discharge Specification No. 7, the dissolved oxygen content in the upper one foot of any wastewater storage pond shall not be less than 1.0 mg/l.
9. Wastewater ponds shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular,
 - a. An erosion control program shall be implemented to ensure that small coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water surface.
 - b. Weeds shall be minimized through control of water depth, harvesting, or herbicides.
 - c. Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.
10. **Effective 1 October 2008**, all treatment, storage, and disposal facilities shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to floods with a 100-year return frequency.

⁶ Dry weather is defined as the months of August through October, inclusive.

⁷ Average daily flow is defined as the total flow for the month divided by the number of days in the month.

11. The facility shall have sufficient treatment, storage, and disposal capacity to accommodate allowable wastewater flow and design seasonal precipitation and ancillary inflow and infiltration during the winter months. Design seasonal precipitation shall be based on total annual precipitation using a return period of 100 years, distributed monthly in accordance with historical rainfall patterns.
12. Freeboard in any pond (including the spreading basin) shall never be less than two feet as measured from the water surface to the lowest point of overflow.
13. On or about **15 October** of each year, available pond storage capacity shall at least equal the volume necessary to comply with Discharge Specifications B.11 and B.12.

C. Effluent Limitations

1. Wastewater discharged into any of the wastewater ponds or the land spreading area sites shall not cause the wastewater contained therein to exceed the following interim performance limit for salinity:

<u>Constituent/Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>30-Day Average</u>
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	2,300

2. No stored wastewater or effluent shall have a pH less than 6.5 or greater than 9.0.

D. General Solids Disposal Specifications

Sludge, as used in this document, means the solid, semisolid, and liquid residues removed during primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment processes. Solid waste refers to grit and screenings generated during preliminary treatment. Residual sludge means sludge that will not be subject to further treatment at the WWTF. Biosolids refers to sludge that has been treated and tested and shown to be capable of being beneficially and legally used pursuant to federal and state regulations as a soil amendment for agriculture, silviculture, horticulture, and land reclamation activities.

1. Sludge and solid waste shall be removed from screens, sumps, ponds, and clarifiers as needed to ensure optimal plant operation.
2. Treatment and storage of sludge generated by the WWTF shall be confined to the WWTF property, and shall be conducted in a manner that precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or at concentrations that will violate the Groundwater Limitations of this Order.
3. Any storage of residual sludge, solid waste, and biosolids at the WWTF shall be temporary, and the waste shall be controlled and contained in a manner that minimizes leachate formation and precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or at concentrations that will violate the Groundwater Limitations of this Order.

4. Residual sludge, biosolids, and solid waste shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer and consistent with Title 27. Removal for further treatment, disposal, or reuse at disposal sites (i.e., landfills, WWTFs, composting sites, soil amendment sites) operated in accordance with valid waste discharge requirements issued by a regional water quality control board will satisfy this specification.
5. Use of biosolids as a soil amendment shall comply with valid waste discharge requirements issued by a regional water quality control board. In most cases, this will mean the General Biosolids Order (State Water Resources Control Board Water Quality Order No. 2000-10-DWQ, *General Waste Discharge Requirements for the Discharge of Biosolids to Land for Use as a Soil Amendment in Agricultural, Silvicultural, Horticultural, and Land Reclamation Activities*). For a biosolids use project to be covered by the General Biosolids Order, the Discharger must file a complete Notice of Intent and receive a Notice of Applicability for each project.
6. Use and disposal of biosolids shall comply with the self-implementing federal regulations of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 503, which are subject to enforcement by the U.S. EPA, not the Regional Water Board. If during the life of this Order, the State accepts primacy for implementation of 40 CFR 503, then the Regional Water Board may also initiate enforcement where appropriate.

E. Interim Groundwater Limitations

1. Release of waste constituents from any wastewater treatment or storage system component associated with the wastewater treatment facility shall not cause groundwater under and beyond that system component, as determined by an approved well monitoring network, to:
 - a. Contain any of the following constituents in concentration greater than those listed below or greater than natural background quality, whichever is greater:

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Limitation</u>
Boron	mg/L	0.7
Chloride	mg/L	106
Iron	mg/L	0.3
Manganese	mg/L	0.05
Sodium	mg/L	69
Total Coliform Organisms	MPN/100 mL	less than 2.2
Electrical Conductivity ¹	umhos/cm	700
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	10
Total dissolved solids ¹	mg/L	450
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	1
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	10

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Limitation</u>
Ammonia (as NH ₄)	mg/l	0.5

¹ A cumulative impact limit that accounts for several dissolved constituents in addition to those listed here separately [e.g., alkalinity (carbonate and bicarbonate), calcium, hardness, phosphate, and potassium].

- b. Exhibit a pH of less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5 pH units.
- c. Impart taste, odor, toxicity, or color that creates nuisance or impairs any beneficial use.

F. Provisions

1. The following reports shall be submitted pursuant to Section 13267 of the California Water Code and shall be prepared as described in Provision F.3:
 - a. **Upon completion** of the facility expansion and headworks improvements, and prior to any use of Ponds 9 and 10, the Discharger shall submit a report prepared by a California licensed engineer certifying that the expansion and associated improvements (excluding the headworks flood protection improvements) have been completed as described in the Report of Waste Discharge and are fully operational.
 - b. By **30 January 2008**, the Discharger shall submit a *Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Workplan* prepared in accordance with, and including the items listed in, the first section of Attachment C: *“Requirements for Monitoring Well Installation Workplans and Monitoring Well Installation Reports.”* The workplan shall describe installation of at least three new groundwater monitoring wells designed to ensure that background water quality is adequately characterized and any potential water quality impacts from the discharge are detected. The system shall be designed to yield samples representative of the uppermost portion of the first aquifer underlying the WWTF. The workplan shall provide the name and contact information for the registered professional that will prepare the groundwater monitoring reports required by the Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP).
 - c. By **29 February 2008**, the Discharger shall submit an *Operation and Maintenance Plan* (O&M Plan) for the WWTF. A copy of the O&M Plan shall be kept at the facility for reference by operating personnel and key personnel shall be familiar with its contents. The O&M Plan shall provide the following:
 - i. Operation and Control of Wastewater Treatment - A description of the wastewater treatment equipment; operational controls; treatment requirements/effluent limitations; flow diagrams including valve/gate locations; operation of the treatment systems during start-up, normal operation, by-pass, shut-down, and draining procedures; potential operational problems including a troubleshooting guide.
 - ii. Sludge Management - A description of the frequency of and procedure for evaluating sludge accumulations in the ponds, and determining when sludge

removal is needed to ensure adequate capacity and optimal operation of the WWTF.

- iii. Personnel - Recommended staffing requirements, staff qualifications, training requirements and schedule, and operator certification requirements.
 - iv. Maintenance – Maintenance procedures, equipment record system, scheduling and use of the maintenance record system, inventory system, special tools, warranty provisions and expiration dates, maintenance cost and budgeting system, maintenance schedule of all equipment including lubricants, filters, UV bulbs, etc.
 - v. Emergency Response – A description of the vulnerability analysis including emergencies such as power outage, severe weather, or flooding. An equipment and telephone list for emergency personnel and equipment vendors. Coordination procedures with fire, police, and health department personnel, and an emergency operating plan.
 - vi. Safety – A general discussion of the hazards of collection systems, mechanical equipment, explosion, pathogens, oxygen deficiencies, chemical and electrical hazards, etc.
 - vii. Appendices – Shall include flow diagrams, valve/gate locations, copy of WDRs, miscellaneous form samples, manufacturer's manuals, and a list of reference materials.
- d. By **30 May 2008**, the Discharger shall submit a *Monitoring Well Installation Report* prepared in accordance with, and including the items listed in, the second section of Attachment C: "*Monitoring Well Workplan and Monitoring Well Installation Report Guidance*." The report shall describe the installation or destruction of any wells, describe well development, and explain any deviation from the approved workplan.
- e. By **30 October 2008**, the Discharger shall submit a report prepared by a California licensed engineer certifying that the headworks flood protection improvements have been completed as described in the Report of Waste Discharge and are fully operational.
- f. By **1 November 2008**, the Discharger shall submit an *Interim Sewer System Management Plan* (SSMP), which shall contain technical reports consistent with the requirements of the State Water Board General Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ. The following portions of the SSMP shall be included in the Interim SSMP:
- i. Item D.13.vi, Overflow Emergency Response Plan.
- g. By **30 August 2010**, the Discharger shall submit a *Background Groundwater Quality Study Report*. For each groundwater monitoring parameter/constituent identified in the MRP, the report shall present a summary of monitoring data and calculation of the concentration in background monitoring wells. Determination of background quality shall be made using the methods described in Title 27 CCR,

Section 20415(e)(10), and shall be based on data from at least eight consecutive quarterly (or more frequent) groundwater monitoring events. For each monitoring parameter/constituent, the report shall compare the calculated background concentration with the interim numeric limitations set forth in Groundwater Limitation F.1.a. Where background concentrations are statistically greater than the interim limitations specified in Groundwater Limitation F.1.a, the report shall recommend final groundwater limitations which comply with Resolution 68-16 for the waste constituents listed therein. Subsequent use of a concentration as a final groundwater limitation will be subject to the discretion of the Executive Officer.

- h. By **30 December 2010**, the Discharger shall submit and implement a *Salinity Evaluation and Minimization Plan* to address sources of salinity to the wastewater treatment system. At a minimum, the plan shall meet the following requirements outlined in CWC Section 13263.3(d)(3) Pollution Prevention Plans:
- i. An estimate of all of the sources of a pollutant contributing, or potentially contributing, to the loadings of salinity in the treatment plant influent including water supply, water softeners, and other residential, commercial and industrial salinity sources.
 - ii. An analysis of the methods that could be used to prevent the discharge of salinity into the facility, including application of local limits to industrial or commercial dischargers regarding pollution prevention techniques, public education and outreach, or other innovative and alternative approaches to reduce discharges of the pollutant to the facility. The analysis shall also identify sources, or potential sources, not within the ability or authority of the Discharger to control.
 - iii. An estimate of salinity load reductions that may be identified through the methods identified in subparagraph ii.
 - iv. A plan for monitoring the results of the salinity pollution prevention program.
 - v. A description of the tasks, costs, and time required to investigate and implement various elements in the salinity pollution prevention plan.
 - vi. A statement of the Discharger's salinity pollution prevention goals and strategies, including priorities for short-term and long term action, and a description of the Dischargers intended pollution prevention activities for the immediate future.
 - vii. A description of the Discharger's existing salinity pollution prevention programs.
 - viii. An analysis, to the extent feasible, of any adverse environmental impacts, including cross-media impacts or substitute chemicals that may result from the implementation of the pollution prevention program.

- ix. An analysis, to the extent feasible, of the costs and benefits that may be incurred to implement the pollution prevention program.
- x. Progress to date in reducing the concentration and/or mass of salinity in the discharge.

Progress in implementation of the plan shall be reported each year in the Annual Monitoring Report required pursuant to Monitoring and Reporting Program No. ____.

- i. **At least 180 days prior** to any biosolids removal and disposal, the Discharger shall submit a *Biosolids Cleanout Plan*. The plan shall include a detailed plan for sludge removal, sludge drying, and disposal. The plan shall specifically describe the phasing of the project, measures to be used to control runoff or percolate from the sludge as it is drying, and a schedule that shows how all dried sludge will be removed from the site prior to the onset of the rainy season (1 October).
- 2. If the Background Groundwater Quality Study shows that the discharge of waste is causing groundwater to contain waste constituents in concentrations statistically greater than background water quality then, within **180 days** of the request of the Executive Officer, the Discharger shall submit a *BPTC Evaluation Workplan* that sets forth the scope and schedule for a systematic and comprehensive technical evaluation of each component of the facility's waste treatment and disposal system to determine best practicable treatment and control for each waste constituent listed in the Groundwater Limitation F.1.a of this Order. The workplan shall contain a preliminary evaluation of each component of the WWTF and effluent disposal system and propose a time schedule for completing the comprehensive technical evaluation. The schedule to complete the evaluation shall be as short as practicable, and shall not exceed **one year** after receipt of comments on the workplan.
 - 3. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with sections 415 and 3065 of Title 16, CCR, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work..
 - 4. The Discharger shall comply with the Monitoring and Reporting Program No. ____, which is part of this Order, and any revisions thereto as ordered by the Executive Officer.
 - 5. The Discharger shall comply with the "Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements", dated 1 March 1991, which are attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference. This attachment and its individual paragraphs are commonly referenced as "Standard Provision(s)."

6. The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board on or before each compliance report due date, the specified document or, if appropriate, a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific schedule date and task. If noncompliance is being reported, then the Discharge shall state the reasons for such noncompliance and provide an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board in writing when it returns to compliance with the time schedule.
7. The Discharger shall use the best practicable cost-effective control technique(s) including proper operation and maintenance, to comply with this Order.
8. The Discharger shall provide certified wastewater treatment plant operators in accordance with Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, Division 3, Chapter 26.
9. As described in the Standard Provisions, the Discharger shall report promptly to the Regional Water Board any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
10. The Discharger shall report to the Regional Water Board any toxic chemical release data it reports to the State Emergency Response Commission within 15 days of reporting the data to the Commission pursuant to section 313 of the "Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act of 1986."
11. Upon the reduction, loss, or failure of the sanitary sewer system resulting in a sanitary sewer overflow, the Discharger shall take any necessary remedial action to (a) control or limit the volume of sewage discharged, (b) terminate the sewage discharge as rapidly as possible, and (c) recover as much as possible of the sewage discharged (including wash down water) for proper disposal. The Discharger shall implement all applicable remedial actions including, but not limited to, the following:
 - a. Interception and rerouting of sewage flows around the sewage line failure;
 - b. Vacuum truck recovery of sanitary sewer overflows and wash down water;
 - c. Use of portable aerators where complete recovery of the sanitary sewer overflows are not practicable and where severe oxygen depletion is expected in surface waters; and
 - d. Cleanup of sewage-related debris at the overflow site.
12. The Discharger shall not allow pollutant-free wastewater to be discharged into the wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system in amounts that significantly diminish the system's capability to comply with this Order. Pollutant-free wastewater means rainfall, groundwater, cooling waters, and condensates that are essentially free of pollutants.
13. In the event of any change in control or ownership of the WWTF, the Discharger must notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to this office. To assume operation as

Discharger under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, the name and address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Water Board, and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory paragraph of Standard Provision B.3 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved by the Executive Officer.

14. The Discharger shall comply with all conditions of this Order, including timely submittal of technical and monitoring reports as directed by the Executive Officer. Violations may result in enforcement action, including Regional Water Board or court orders requiring corrective action or imposing civil monetary liability, or in revision or recession of this Order.
15. A copy of this Order shall be kept at the discharge facility for reference by operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its contents.
16. The Regional Water Board will review this Order periodically and will revise requirements when necessary.

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on ____.

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer